FOR MYSORE STATE

Revenue (1953) Department.

Ms. SERIES.

G.O. NO. 313

Dated 2.2.53

closed
&
Sept 31

[Despatch Abstract.]

Advances - loans - (S. Kanara) - puttur Th- punichappady Vge - loan of Rs 25,000 for reclamation of land and for construction of irrigation works - Application of P. Venkatramana Bhat of Kasaragod Request- Negatived..





After the Kyoto Conference at Kuttur I went on saw the place on 25-4-50 The requests made in the memorandum presented to Hon. Shri. A.B.Shetty, Minister for Agriculture and Shri. Bhakthavathsalam, Minister for Public Works, Government of Madras. be examined.

I am a resident at Parthaje of Venrakaje Village Bantwal Taluk of this District. At a time when scarcity of Food was keenly felt, resolving within my self to do my bit in raising more food crops, and searching out suitable plots, I selected this spot. Utilizing all my incomes, I started this work after obtaining the necessary permission from the Revenue Board and as per plan approved by the Collector in his Order No.R.Dis.2540-48 dated 21-12-48, and the same has reached the present stage. I am not inclined to place before you the various difficulties I experienced so far and waste your precious time. All the said difficulties are borne out by records, and the District Officials may possibly apprise you of the same on account of several difficulties I feel that I cannot proceed further without help from the Government. Hence if you are satisfied with the work already done by me, and seen by you, I request you to help me at an early date in the following matters.



1. I request the Government to lend me free of interest on the responsibility and charge of my immovable properties Rs.25,000/- on condition that I should repay the same in annual instalments of Rs.1000/- 25 years. My immovable properties fetch an income of 50 candies of areca, 600 muras of rice, 10,000 cocoanuts, 3 candies of pepper per year. The said properties are now encumbered to the extant of Rs.11,000/

2. I must be given a permit free of seignourage, for felling timber and using the same for the purpose of the Ancert.

3. I must be granted on Darkhast the revenue lands on either side of the channel leading from the Ancert.

4. The Government should not charge me any rent fo the Bull grader and Tractor already ordered to be made available to me by the District Officers.

The road in which you have travelled to day u to the Anecut has to be continued to two more miles and connected with Dambe Kuniyoor road near Pudubettu Basthy. The said road could pass over my Anecut and I am prepared to give my written consent for the same. Engineers are of opanion that by a little widening of the peirs of the present Anecut, the same could be converted into a Bridge also.

The above are my minimum requests. If the Govern--ment helps me in the matter, by grace of God, I undertake to com plete the work as soon as possible. With your substan--tial help and on completion of the work, not only ~~as~~ --- intended the yenel crop could be raised. but also according to Engineers estimate even two crops could be raised easily in about 2000 acres extant of fields. After raising the 2nd or Suggi crop in the same to the extant possible even the 3rd Kolke c rop could be raised. And I shall feel highly recompensed for my work if thereby I have contributed my bit in raising more food and Helping in the said cause. Once again I appeal to you to help me in getting my requests.

I feel happy that you have thought it worth while to come over here to see my work in this village situated is in the Eastern interior parts of this District. I am for ever grateful to you in the matter. I have also to thank all the public, friends, and relations also who have assembled to day here on the occasion.

Camp:-Sarve
Date:-25-4-1950.

Your's Faithfully,

Venkataramana Katti

P.W.D. (J)

No 21955/50-1
8.5.50.

R

Addl. No. Chairman Taluka

Advances - Loans - (Cattle Kanara) Kasaragod taluk - Yenmakaje village - loan of Rs 25000/- to Aigunni.

From Sri. Venkataramana Bathe, petn dt 25.4.50

Lr. dtd. No 30865 N/50-I dated 26/5/50

An extract from the petition quoted above is forwarded to the Board of Revenue for remarks.

26/5/50

Board's Lr. No. 30865/50 dt 30/5/50

(with an extract)

Portion marked 22 22 to be returned

L. A. Dept. (E)

Subj:- Communications - Roads - extension of the Road leading to Arecut to two more miles to Darbe Kaniyoor Road near Pudu bettu Basthy - Petition

Ref:- Petition from a resident at Parthaje y enmakaje Village, Kasaragode Taluk, South Kanara Dt, Dt 25-4-1950.

Memo 24174-1-E-50 Dt 3-6-50

Paras as prct

An extract from the petition cited requesting the extension of the road leading to Arecut by connecting it with two more miles to Darbe Kaniyoor Rd. near Pudubettu Basthy, is communicated to the President, Dt. Board, South Kanara for necessary action

8/6/50

29/6 29/6

To The President Dt. Board. S. Kanara Dt.

(with a relevant extract of the petition) Paras as prct

Memo. No. 30865 N/50-3 dt 22-8-50

Advances – Loans-(South Kanara) – Kasargode Taluk – Yenmakaje village – Loan of Rs 25,000/- Request from Sri Venkataramana Battan – Petition dated 25.4.50

Refa: Govt. Endt. No. 30865 N/50-1 dt 26.5.50

The Board of Rev. is requested to expedite its reply to the Govt. Endt. quoted above

Kg
23/8/50

Rev (LR.)

8/

L-A B:

The file has been sent to this Dept for necessary action on the report at A in regard to the extension of the road to Dambe-Kamiyon by the petitioner. A relevant extract of the petition may be forwarded to the P.W.D Secretariat for necessary action.

A draft note is noted for approval. After same the file may be returned to Rev n.o

Rev (a.i)
30.6.50

1
28/6.

Typed as NU.24174-1E
30-6-50

LA UO 24174-2E-50
5/7/50.

To Dy.

RD

The relevant ~~file~~ note for p'mte may be read. The file may now be sent & dealt with agn. with reference to the request in para 4 of the petition ~~appeal~~ on p2 ante.

4869 N.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
7 JUL 1950
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

30865N/50

Rev no 4869N/50

7.7.50

Dy
6/7/50

Dy
6/7/50

Dy. A.S

Dy
6/7/50

Ford Hy
F & A

The file has been sent to this effect w.r. to para 4 P 2 above. The petr requests that he should not be charged any ~~rent~~ charges for the roll grader and tractor ordered to be made available to him by the DAO. Free supply of a tractor cannot be conceded as it is the intention of the Govt to make the tractor Scheme a self supporting and economic one. no action appears called for. For orders.

THIRD ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
17 JUL 1950
FOOD AND AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT
4565 3

Have no objection to the proposal
20/7/50

P.T.O

Rev 80/61

10 HS

Please see the petition at pp 1-2 ante and the minutes of HH(Agts) and HH(OU) at p 1 ante.

2. This Dept are concerned with the request for an amount from [illegible] 25,000/- referred to at 2 in the petition at p1 ante. Under rule 21 of the H.C.L. Ad. (General) Rules 1933, no loan shall be granted unless the value of the security offered exceeds by at least one-third the amount of the loan applied for. There may not be any objection to granting the loan applied for provided that the conditions referred to above in the rule are satisfied. However, it appears that an interest at the current rate viz. 4½% p.a. will have to be charged on loans of the kind referred to in the petition. With reference to HH's minute, an extract of the petition (request for loan) may be forwarded to the Board of Revenue for remarks. Subject to orders a draft Endt is submitted for approval. After issue of the Endt, the file may be passed on to L.A. for necessary action in so far as the request with which they are concerned. Extracts being kept in watch this Bdo accordingly.

23.5.50 N 23.5.50

3. In para 3 at p1 ante, the petitioner has requested that he may be granted certain lands on either side of the channel leading from the Amenti Ogden H/Tea present scheme for assignment of land, only political sufferers, ex-servicemen and landless poor persons are eligible for grant of land. There is not provision at present for grant of land to rich persons. ~~It is not~~ No action therefore appears necessary on this request. ~~Even if the lands in question are Poramboke they should be disposed of in accordance with the Board's standing orders in all such cases.~~

LA (Cal)

p 2 C 1523

1101 f

LOCAL ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT 21171 12 JUN 1950 SECRETARIAT, MADRAS

Revco 30865 N/50-2

6.10

After orders are passed the case may be returned to Rev Dept

Jan. 18.7.50 18/7/50

20/7/50 Rev. Rev

A no 45653 M 50-1
24.7.50

new dept

Jt Ad

Please see the notes from Planto. No further action is called for in this file. (Extracts have already been taken with reference to Asp Planto) these papers may be lodged.

AA

ggg 52271 N
25-7-50

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
25 JUL 1950
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

25/7/50

Memorandum presented to Honourable Sri A.B. Shetty,
Minister for Agriculture and Sri Bhaktha Vathsalam M
Minister for Public Works, Government of Madras.

--:X:--

I am a resident at Parthaje of Yenmakaje Village, Kasaragod Taluk of this District. At a time when scarcity of food was keenly felt resolving within myself to do my bit in raising more food crops and searching out suitable plots I selected this spot. Utilising all my incomes I started this work after obtaining the necessary permission from the Revenue Board and as per plan approved by the Collector in his No. R.Dis 2540/48/A1-1a-46 and the same has reached the present stage. I am not inclined to place before you the various difficulties I experienced so far and waste your precious time. All the said difficulties are borne out by records and the district officials may possibly apprise you of the same. On account of several difficulties I feel that I cannot proceed furtner without any help from the Government. Hence if you are satisfied with the work already done by me and seen by you I request you to help me at an early date in the following matters.

1. I request the Government to lend me free of interest on the responsibility and charge of my immovable properties Rs.25,000/- on condition that I should repay the same in annual instalments of Rs.1000/- within 25 years. My immovable properties fetch an income of 50 candies of arreca, 300 muras of rice, 10,000 coconuts, 3 candies of pepper per year. The said properties are not encumbered to the extant of Rs. 11,000/-.

2. I must be given a permit free of signorage for getting timber and using the same for the purpose of the Anecut.

3. I must be granted on Dharkast the Revenue lands on either side of the channels leading from the anecut.

4. The Government should not chage me any rent for the Bull Grader and Tractor already ordered to be made

available to me by the District Officers.

5. The road in which you have travelled today upto the anecut has to be continued to a two more miles and connected with Darbe Manlyoor road near Padubettu Basthy.

The said road could pass over my anecut and I am prepared to give my written consent for the same. Engineers are of opinion that by a little widening of the piers of the present anecut, the same could be converted into a bridge also.

The above are my minimum requests If the Government helps me in the matter, by grace of God, I undertake to complete the work as soon as possible With your substantial help and on completion of the work, not only the istamil crop could be raised, but also according to engineer estimation two crops could be raised easily in about 2000 acres extent of fi ldd. After raising the kuruvai or Suggi crop in the same te t [illegible] Sru Kolke crop could be raised. And I shall feel highly recompensed for my work if thereby, I have contributed my bit in raising more food and helping in the s l [illegible]. Once again I appeal to you to help me in fulfilling my requests.

I feel happy that you have thought it worthwhile to come over here to see my works in this village situated as it is in the Eastern interior parts of this District. I am forever grateful to you in this matter. I have also to thank all the public friends and relatives also who have assembled to day here on the occasion.

Yours faithfully,

Date: 25-4-1950.

L. Padmanabha Rao Esq

R.Dis. 2540/48.

Proceedings of the Collector of South Kanara,

Mangalore, dated 21-11-48.

--:X:--

S. R. Kaiwar, I.C.S.

--:A:--

Irrigation - (South Kanara) - Puttur Taluk - Punachappadi Village - construction of a dam across stream in S.No.39 - Application of Sri Parthaje Venkatramana Bhatta.

Read:- Collector's Proceedings L. Dis.5189/47 dated 15-10-1947.

2. From the Board of Revenue Ref: H.71/48-2 dated 3-2-1948 communicating copy of petition of Sri Parthaje Venkatramana Bhatta, S/o Narayana Bhatta, residing at Noorkaje of Vittal Padnur village Puttur Taluk, Vittal Post.

3. From the Executive Engineer, South Kanara Division, Mangalore letter No. 3008-M. dated 5-10-48.

4. From the Board of Revenue B.R. Routine 7960 dated 30-11-1948.

Under the permissions of B.S.O.7 as amended by Board's Resolution Mis.No.1034/45, dated 7-3-1945, permission is granted to Sri Parthaje Venkatramana Bhat S/o Narayana Bhat, of Vittal Padnur Village, to construct a permanent dam at his own cost across the poramboke stream in S.No.39 of Punachappadi village, Puttur Taluk ~~xx~~ subject to the following conditions.

(1) Wet assessment will be imposed on dry lands converted into wet as a result of this dam, in the fifth year of cultivation and garden assessment on dry lands converted into garden when the trees begin to bear.

2) The plan of construction of the dam should be previously approved by the Collector.

3) After the completion of the dam, no structural alterations will be allowed without previous permission of the Collector.

4) A foot bridge of the type approved by the Collector shall be constructed by Sri Parthaje Venkatramana Bhat, across the stream within one year of the commencement of the work at a place approved by the Collector.

5) The work is liable to be stopped or removed if at any time it is found that it will impair any public or private interests.

6) A licence fee of Re.(1/-) one only per annum will be paid to Government by Sri Parthaje Venkatramana Bhat.

7) The Government reserve the right to take over the dam and carry out improvements to the scheme, if other Ryots improve their lands and make them commandable by it.

8) The work is liable to be stopped if any of the conditions of the grant of this permission is violated.

(sd) S.R.Kaiwar.
Collector.

To

Sri Parthaje Venkatramana Bhat,
c/o Narayana Bhat, residing at Noorkaje, Vittal
Padnur Village, Puttur, Vittal Post.

To

The Tahasildar Puttur,
Copy to the R.D.O. Puttur,
Copy to the Executive Engineer, South Kanara Division, Mangalore.

True Copy

CONFIDENTIAL

14

DEPUTY SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
24 JUL 1952
51451
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

C.P. 34—10,59,181—7-11-49.

PUBLIC WORKS Department

From (Name) Sri A. R Venkatachari, B E. M. I. E., (Ind), M. Am. Soc. C E., I. S E.,

Designation CHIEF ENGINEER FOR IRRIGATION.

Station CHEPAUK, MADRAS-5.

Received ..... Registered .....

To

The Secretary,
Board of Revenue,
Chepauk, Madras-5.

24 JUL 1952
Enclosures:
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

No. 1909/51-B-19, dated 12th July 195 2.

Sir,

24 JUL 1952
AUDIT
GOVERNMENT
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Sub: ADVANCE LOAN - South Kanara District - Kasargod Taluk - Petition of Sri Venkataramana Bhat - Regarding construction of an anicut across Surve river - Report on the.

Ref: From the Board U.O.V.6828/50 dated 29-3-51.
& This Office D.O.No.1909/51-B-16 dated 20-3-52.

With reference to the above I am enclosing a copy of Collector's proceedings R.Dis.2540/48 dated 21-12-48 wherein the Collector has permtted the individual to construct the anicut across a stream in Porombok land at his own cost subject to the conditions cited therein. The Board in forwarding the petitioner's letter for a loan, seeks to elicit the definite opinion of the Chief Engineer in regard to the " desirability of permitting a private individual to construct a dam across the stream when Government could well have undertaken the construction." The party having been permitted by the Collector in 1948, has now constructed the anicut almost to completion and it is reported that only sundry items of work such as earth connections, stone revetment etc. remain to be done. By this scheme about 143 acres of waste lands can be converted into wet which would yield about 70 tons of rice. The supply channel from the anicut is reported to be under execution for the first 1¼ miles and in this reach the party expects about 35 acres to be benefited as a first stage of the scheme, for the reclamation of which it would roughly cost Rs. 17,500 at Rs. 500/- per acre. The immediate Grow More Food potentiality of this scheme can thus be seen to be not very bright. For these reasons the question of Government undertaking the work does not arise at this stage.

2/ In any case the loan can be granted on the security of the applicant's lands and not on the anicut structure which may or may not be satisfactory in practice. This may arise due to inadequate design or poor materials and nature of work done. The anicut should however become the absolute property of Government after completion being on Government land and across a natural stream whose waters vest in Government. The party may be allowed to repair the structure as may be necessary and with the permission of Government without affecting ownership of the work by Government, and right of Government to themselves repairing it. The Government should be free to use the anicut in any case and channels taking off from it for the irrigation of other lands with or without improvements as it suits them and collect water rates. Water rates chargeable on the party may however be adjusted against instalments of loan due from him.

3/ An agreement in suitable form as in case of standard form for contribution works built on Government Irrigation Canals may be also taken by the Collector.

L. Swaminathan
21/7/52
for Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

Enc: Copy of Collector's proceedings.

p.p/21/7/52.

Copy to Secretary to Government, Revenue Department with reference to Gov Revenue Department No. 30865 NC/50-9 dated 7-10-50.

Copy to Secretary to Government, Public Works Department with reference Govt. Memo. No. 72743 J/51-1 dated 22-8-51.

16

87891 e/4

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.
Subject.

ADVANCES - Loans (South Kanara) Puthur Taluk - Saru and Savanur villages - Sri Parthaje Venkatraman Bhat - Construction of a dam Loan of Rs. 25,000/- Submitted.

x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-

Station:Madras. Ref:Rt.7424. Dated: 3-11-52.

Sri. A.F.Mascaranhas, I.A.S.,
Secretary, Board of Revenue,

...

Sri. S.A.Venkatraman, I.C.S.,
Commissioner of Land Revenue.

ADDITIONAL ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
6-NOV 1952
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Ref: Endt. No.30865-N/50-1, dated the 26th May 1950.
Collector of South Kanara, L.Dis.C.15258/50-B5, d/5-1-1951.
Govt.Memorandum No.30865 NC/50(Rev) dated 7th May 1951.
Govt.Memorandum No.30865 NC/50-5 (Revenue) dated 31-8-1951.
Chief Engineer for Irrigation, Chepauk, Madras, No. 1909/51-B.19, dated 12th July 1952.
Government Memorandum No. 30865 C/52-16 (Revenue) dated 8th August 1952.
Collector of South Kanara, L.Dis.11387/52, d/23-9-1952.

RESOLUTION:

Submitted to Government.

2. In his R.Dis.2540/48, dated 21-12-48 the Collector of South Kanara granted permission to one Sri. P.V.Bhat to construc a permanent dam at his own cost across the paramboke stream in .No. 39 of Panchappadi village, Putur Taluk subject to certain onditions. The scheme was estimated to cost Rs. 47,799. On 1-6-50 the applicant obtained a loan of Rs. 15,000/- from a lan ortgage Bank at 4½% repayable in 20 years and he had spent Rs. 8,125/- on the work by the end of 1950. The work has almost een completed except for sundry items of work such as earth con-ections, stone revetment etc.

3. The applicant has applied for a loan of Rs. 25,000/- unde he L.L.C. Act free of interest. In para 5 of his letter dated -1-1951, the Collector has recommended the grant of the loan t 3% interest recoverable in 25 years to enable the party to rep he loan of Rs. 15,000/- to the Land Mortgage Bank and to complet he work. The Board submits that no loan can be granted for the ischarge of prior debts and that there are also no special ground or the grant of loan at concessional rate of interest. The Chie ngineer is also of the view that the immediate Grow More Food otentiality of this scheme is not very bright.

4. The Board also submits that even at the pre-war prices ll the properties of the applicant have been valued at Rs. ,67,500 and his present liabilities are stated to be only s. 28,500. It therefore considers that the applicant is a rich erson and that he can finance his scheme by raising money from ther sources. The Board therefore recommends that the loan pplied for may be rejected.

5. The Board invites the attention of the Government to the hief Engineer 's letter cited and submits that the Government ay be pleased to instruct the Collector to impose the additional onditions referred to in the letter and also to obtain an agreem n a suitable form as recommended by the Chief Engineer.

R.V... 3-11-52
for SECRETARY.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department (with enclosures)

AG/3-11.

Copy of letter No. 1909/51-B-19, dated 12th July 1952 from the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, Chepauk, Madras.

Sub:- Advance Loan - South Kanara Dt - Kasargod taluk - Petition of Sri. Venkatramana Bat - Regarding construction of an anicut across surve river - Report on the

Ref:- From the Board. R.O.V.6828/50, dated 293-51.
& this Office D.O.No. 1909/51-B16, dated 20-3-52.

With reference to the above I am enclosing a copy of Collector's proceedings R.Dis.2540/48, dated 21-12-48 wherein the Collector has permitted the individual to construct the anicut across a stream in Poromboke land at his own cost subject to the conditions cited there The Board in forwarding the petitioner's letter for a loan, seeks to elicit the definite opinion of the Chief Engineer in regard to the "desirability of permitting a private individual to construct a dam across the stream when Govt.could well have undertaken the construction The party having been permitted by the Collector in 1948, has now constructed the anicut almost to completion and it is reported that only sundry items of work such as earth connections, stone revetment e etc. remain to be done. Bythis scheme about 143 acres of waste lands can be convereted into wet which would yield about 70 tons of rice. The supply channel from the anicut is reported to be under execution for the first 1¼ miles and in this reach the party expects about 35 acres to be benefited as a first stage of the scheme, for the reclamation of which it would roughly cost Rs. 17,500 at Rs. 500/- peracre. The immediate Grow More Food potentiality of this scheme can thus be seen to be not very bright. For these reasons the question of Govt. undertaking the work does not arise at this stage.

In any case the loan can be granted on the security of the applicant's lands and not on the anicut structure which may or may not be satisfactory in practice. This may arise due to inadequate design or poor matierials and nature of work done. The anicut should however become the absolute property of Govt. after completion being on Govt. land and across a natural stream where waters vest in Govt. The party may be allowed to repair the structure as may be necessary and with the permission of Govt. without affecting ownership of the work by Govt. and right of Govt. to themselves repairing it. The Govt.should be free to use the anicut in any case and channels taking off from it for the irrigation of other lands with or without improvements as it suits them and collect water rates. Water rates chargeable on the party may however be adjusted against instalments of loan due from him.

An agreement in suitable form as in case of standard form for contribution works built on Government Irrigation Canals may be also taken by the Collector.

(Sd.)xxxxxxxxxx
for Chief Engineer.

/True Copy/

Copy of proceedings letter R.Dis.2540/48, dated 21-12-48 from the Collector of South Kanara, Mangalore to Sri. Parthaja Venkatramana Bhat S/O Narayana Bhat, residing at Moorkaje, Puttur Taluk, Vittal Post Copy of to the Ex.Engineer, South Kanara Division, Mangalore.

Sub:- Irrigation (South Kanara) Puttur Taluk - Punachappadi village - Construction of a dam across stream in S.No.39. Application of Sri. Parthaji venkatramana Bhat.

...

Read: 1. Collector's proceedings L.Dis.5189/47, dated 15-10-47.
2. From the Bd.of Revenue Ref.H-71/48-2 dated 3-2-48. communicating copy of petition of Sri. Parthji Venkatramana Bhat, S/O Narayana Bhat, residing at Moorkaje of Vithalpadmur village, Puttur Taluk, Vittal Post.
3. From the Ex.Engineer, S.Kanara Dvn.Mangalore letter No.3008 M dated 5-10-1948.
4. From the Bd.of Revenue B.P.Routine 7960 dated 30-11-48.

...

Under the provisions of B.S.O. 7 as amended by Board's Resolution Ms.No. 1334/45, dated 7-9-45, permission is granted to Sri. Parthje Venkatramana Bhat, S/O Narayana Bhat of Vithalpadnur village, to construct a permanent dam at his own cost, across the Poromboke stream. in S.No. 39 of Panachapadi village, Puttur taluk subject to the follow

conditions:-

1. wet assessment will be imposed on dry lands converted as a result of this dam, in the fifth year of cultivation and garden assessment on dry lands converted into gardenish the trees begin to bear.
2. The plan of construction of the dam should be previously approved by the Collector.

3. After the completion of the dam, no structural alteration will be allowed without previous permission of the Collector.

4. A foot-bridge of the type approved by the Collector shall be constructed by Sri. Purthaji Venkatramana Bjat actoss the stream within one year of commencement of the work at a place approved by the Collector.

5. The work is liable to be stopped or removed, if at any time it is found that it will impair any public or private interests.

6. A licence fee of Re. 1/- (One only) per annum will be paid to Govt. by Sri. Parthija Venkatramana Bhat.

7. The Government reserve the right totake over the dam and carry out improvements to the scheme, if other ryots improved their lands and make them commandably by it.

8. The work is liable to stopped if any of thevgrant of this permission is violted.

(Sd.) xxxxxxx

KAG/3-11. /True Copy/

RV

I inspected the site of the dam, and the area it is intended to irrigate. I have also discussed about the scheme with the Executive Engineer who has seen the plans the estimates and also seen the work while in progress. a dam with planking arrangements, and during the monsoon the planks are removed so that the flood water may freely flow in the river. After the monsoon is over the planks will be fitted into the dam and water diverted along the channel cut along the left side of the river. No vested interests lower down the river are affected by the scheme, and according to the Executive Engineer the scheme will be a success and will be a real add to the productive resources of the District. The petitioner has spent already Rs.28,125/- on this work. The cost of the work remaining to be done is just Rs. 19674/- or Rs.20,000/-. The petitioner has already obtained a loan of Rs.15,000/- on 21-6-50 from the land mortgage Bank, Puttur at 4½% interest repayable in 20 annual instalments. It is learnt that he has spent this money on this scheme.

5. The petitioner offers as security his mooloogeni lands in Sarve and Savanoor villages besides his other property in Jalsoor village of Puttur Taluk and if necessary in Kinnigoli village of Karkal Taluk. The mooloogeni properties in Sarve and Savanoor villages are reported to be worth Rs.2200/- in their present fallow state at present rates. The properties of Jalsoor and Vittal Mudur villages of Puttur Taluk are reported to be worth Rs.43,392-8-0 and Rs.52012/8-0 respectively. The Kinnigoli property has been valued at Rs.69,858- 2-0. The assistant has made these valuations only at present rates. The total value of the properties offered as security as would be seen from the form "IV" report submitted by the Assistant is Rs. 1392-8-0 as against the total value of the property i.e. Rs.2,82,463-12-0 owned by the applicant. The lands of mooloogeni security are reported to be free from encumbrance and relate to Jalsoor village. The Mulgeni property in Sarve and Savanoor villages which are to be admitted under the scheme will therefore be the primary security for the loan while the property in Jalsoor village could be taken as collateral security. It is a big project and no ryot-seeed would ordinarily undertake a work of this kind. The applicant is however a very enthusiastic person who is putting his heart and soul in this work. He has made it his life ambition to see that he brings under paddy as much area as he could. As the security offered is adequate and as the scheme is likely to increase production considerably, I recommend the grant of a loan of the sum of Rs.25000/- on 3 per cent interest recommarable in 25 annual instalments. The land mortgage Bank is charging him 4½% rate of interest on the sum of Rs.15000/- advanced by them on 21-6-50, recoverable in 20 years. It is for this reason that I recommend in the applicant's favour a lesser rate of interest to be charged to pay the loan taken from the Land Mortgage Bank, and to utilise the balance for completing the work. If any more amount is required he will spend it from his pocket and complete it.

Yours faithfully

For Collector.

Lis.C.No.15262/1950/B5 — South Kanara Collector's Office
Mangalore dated 6--9--1952.

From

Sri A. R. Rajaram, B.A.,
Collector of South Kanara.
M A N G A L O R E.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department, Fort St.George,
M A D R A S - 9

Through

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Land Revenue, Chepauk,
M A D R A S - 5.

(in duplicate)

ADVANCE - Loans (South Kanara) Puttur Taluk - Survey and Savanur villages - L.I.L. and A.L. Acts - grant of loan at concessional Rates of Interest - petition of Parthaje Venkatramana Bhat of Enmakaje village of Kasaragod Taluk for Rs.25000/- Report.

Ref:- Board's Rc.No.[illegible]/dated 9-9-1950.

I enclose the records of enquiry pages 1 to 100 relating to the application for a Takavi loan put in by P. Venkatramana Bhat of Enmakaje village.

2. Sri P. Venkatramana Bhat applied for a loan and a subsidy to enable him to put up a dam across the Poramboke river S.No.39 of Punchapadi village in Puttur taluk. He proposes to construct also small channels from the dam site to take water for irrigating his moolgeni fields in Sarve and Savanoor villages which are situated at a distance of about 2 furlongs from the dam in the north in Sarve and Savanur villages. These moolgeni lands belonging to him are now reported to be lying fallow. The petitioner has already started construction of the dam under technical advice and the estimated cost of the scheme is Rs.47,799/- He hopes to bring under second crop cultivation his own moolgeni lands measuring 31.53 acres which are at present and 6.00 acres of dry in Sarve and Savanur villages. He is hopeful that he would be able to convert an extent of about 140 acres of waste lands into wet after securing them on lease under Grow More Food Concessions or on assignment. The total area to be benefitted by the scheme is reported to be about 143 acres of Amruki etc. lands and 32.41 acres of Moolgeni land besides a small extent of garden land.

3. The Tahsildar Puttur reports that the increased output as a result of this venture would be about 79 and odd kgms of rice per year. The Revenue Divisional Officer Puttur who has inspected the site has reported that the lands of several other ryots lying in the vicinity would also be benefitted by the scheme. According to him when the dam is completed and the channels are cleared an extent of 500 acres in all would be brought under irrigation. Both the Tahsildar and the Revenue Divisional Officer have strongly recommended Government assistance in this case by way of subsidy and loan.

W. Dis. H094/52 183

Resubmitted to the Collecto
Kasaragod.



The petitioner is financially
sound. Even at the pre-war prices
his properties have been valu
at about Rs. 167,500/-, whereas his to
liabilities at present are Rs. 28 5
the properties offered as security a
not encumbered. He is prepared to
produce a further non-encumbra
certificate before the disbursement
of the loan amount, in respect of
the last two
years.

587/5-2

The petitioner now resides near
at Enmakaje in Kasaragod taluk.
The work has not been fully complete
Planks have to be covered at some
places to bund the water & channel
have also to be constructed. Additi
II crop in about 28 acres & III crop in abo
29 acres can be raised in the petition
fields, if the work is completed.
The statement of the petitione
may be perused.

2/6/52.
Tahsild

Read:
From Sri P.Venkataramana Bhat, petition dated 25.4.50.
From the Board of Revenue, Ref. Rt.7424 dated 3.11.52.

G.O. Ms. 313 dated 2/2/53

In his memorandum dated 25.4.1950 Sri P. Venkataramana Bhat of Yenmakaje village, Kasargod taluk, South Kanara district made the following requests in connection with the construction by him of an anicut across the stream in S.No.39 of Punchapady village, Puthur taluk, South Kanara:

1) that a loan of Rs.25,000/- free of interest repayable in annual instalments of Rs.1,000/- each may be granted;

2) that the lands on either side of the channel leading from the anicut may be assigned;

3) that no rent may be charged for the bull-dozer and tractor made available to him;

4) that a road up to the anicut for 2 miles connecting the Darbe Kaniyoor road near Pudubetta Basthy may be laid; and

5) that he may be permitted to fell timber required for the construction of the anicut from porambokes free of seignourage fee.

The Government have examined these requests and pass the following orders:

Requests (2), (3) and (5) above cannot be complied with.

Request (4) above was remitted for consideration communicated with Government Memo No.24174-1-E/50 L.A. Department dated 30.6.50 to the District Board, South Kanara. for necessary action.

2. The request of the applicant for loan has been examined after calling for the remarks of in consultation with the Collector of South Kanara and the Board of Revenue. It is reported The Collr. has stated that the dam has been almost completed and that the grant of the loan applied for will enable the petitioner to repay the loan obtained by him for the purpose from the Land Mortgage Bank, and utilise the balance for completing the work.

are not admissible for the ~~discharge~~ discharge of the prior debts

The present policy of the Government is not to grant loans to rich persons ~~as they can~~ who can afford to raise funds from other sources. As the petitioner is reported to be sufficiently affluent ~~a rich person~~ and as no loan under the Loans (General) Rules can be granted for the discharge of prior debts, the Government decline to comply with this request.

3. Orders will issue separately on the recommendation of the C.E. which is supported by the Board of Revenue that the Collr. may be asked to obtain from the petitioner an agreement as in the case of contribution works on Govt. Irrigation ~~channels~~ canals.

Dealt with separately in H Sect.

27.1.53

28.1.53

To

Sri P.V. Bhat, thro' the Collr. of S. Kanara.

The Board of Revenue with records at (O) returned.

The Collr. of S. Kanara.

Copy to the Rev.Dept.(H.Sec

Extract from the petition from Sri M. Giriappa and others, ryots of Sullya Firka, Puttur taluk, S.Kanara d/17-2-53 to the Minister for Agriculture, Govt. of Madras.

xx xx

11 (e). Irrigation dams may be constructed to conserve the water which is running waste, so that the same may be utilised during summer.

Extract from the address presented by the Ryots' Association, Puttur taluk, South Kanara on 16-2-53 to the Minister for Agriculture, Govt. of Madras.

xx xx

(4) Sri Parthaje Venkataramana Bhatta has undertaken to construct at his own cost an irrigation dam across the Survey river which would irrigate an area of about 200 acres. Sri A.B. Shetty was thoroughly satisfied with this enterprise after personal inspection and had promised all possible help that he could give Sri Bhatha has applied to Government for an interest free loan of Rs 25,000 for which he is prepared to give adequate security. In the absence of help the work may have to be abandoned. The Government may therefore be pleased to expedite the sanction of the loan in the interest of this district.

xx xx

Rev dept

Mems No. 78246/53-1 dt 22-9-53

Advances - Loans - (South Kanara)

Application from Sri P. Venkataraya Bhatta.

Address presented by the Ryots' Assoc Puttur taluk South Kanara (to Minister for Agriculture) on 16.2.53

An extract from the welcome address cited is forwarded to the Collector of South Kanara. The Collector is requested to state whether any

[illegible] on a
loan from Sri P. Venkataramana
Letter [illegible]
[illegible] was
received by him [illegible]
[illegible]

27
2.2.53

To The Collector
South Kanara
Dtg Nil (LR)
Civil Copy
for
Info

DW
WR
W 26/1/53



Revenue Department

Subject

ADVANCES - Loans (South Kanara) - Application from Sri P. Venkaliamana Bhatta - Report.

Station:Madras Ref.J.102/53-3 dated 26-11-1953.

From

Sri W.R.S.Sattianathan, I.C.S., Commissioner of Land Revenue.
Sri C.S.Krishna Moorthi, I.A.S., Secretary, Board of Revenue.

Read:Government memo No.78246/53-1 dated 22-9-53
Board'sRef.J.102/53-2 dated 20-11-53.
From the Collector L.Dis.24798/53 dated 29-10-53.
From the Government, Revenue Department 78046-L/53-2 d/26-10-53

Reference:

The Board submits that the loan application of Sri S.P. Venkataramannar Bhatta of Yenmakje village was rejected by the Government in G.O.Ms.No.313 Revenue dated 2-2-53.

for Secretary.

To

The Secretary to Government, Revenue Department Para 1
The Collector of South Kanara (Para 2).

ag/28.11.

Advances - Loans - (South Kanara) - Puttur Taluk - Perricharpady Village - Loan of Rs. 25,000 for reclamation of land & for construction of irrigation works - Application of P. Venkatramana Bhat of Kasargod.

From Sri. P. Venkatramana Bhat, petition dt 10.8.51.

To A.S.

Please see the petition and the enclosures at pages 10-13 cf and Secretary orders on page 10 cf.

2. The petition on pages 11-12 cf is ~~only~~ a copy of the petition at pages 1-2 cf. The petitioner's request for the grant of a takkavi loan of Rs. 25,000 has been referred to the Board of Revenue for remarks. The Board is examining the request in consultation with the Chief Engineer for Irrigation. The Board's final report has not yet been received. The Board was last reminded on 21-8-51. The Board's report may be awaited till 1-10-51.

3 As regards the other requests in the petition, namely, reclaiming lands, supply of bull dozers and tractors free of rent, ~~etc~~ and each

7/5/52 one year after the date of application for the matter which is not to be dealt with within one year from the date of application

re Enquiry

are inadmissible under the rules.

17.9.51

17.9.51

A-S.

Secy's orders at ✱ on page 1 ante

Please see the Board's D.O. to the Chief Engineer at page 11 of the Rt file below. The Board has asked the Chief Engineer to return the Board's letter at once with out remarks.

A draft D.O is submitted for approval with reference to Secy's orders at ✱ on page 1 ante.

19.9.51

Issued as D.O.NO. 30865 NC/50-6 dt 20.9.51. p12 of Rt file

From the Board of Rev., D.O. NO. V.6828/50, dated 25.9.51. - p13 of Rt file

DEPUTY SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
20 SEP 1951
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

A-S.

The D.O. from the Bd. of Rev. on p. 13 of Rt. file may be perused. The Bds. report may be awaited till 20.10.51.

A-Sh. 27.9.51.

28/9/51

From the C.E.(9) No. 1909/51-B-19 dt 12.7.51. p-14 ante

To A-

Please see the notes on pp 1-2 above C.E.(9)'s report and Secy's query on p. 14 ante. The C.E.(9)'s report is w.r.t. a reference issued by the Bd. of Rev. The Bd's final report with the results of enquiry has not yet been received. The Bd. of Rev

may be reminded. A draft memo is submd. for approval

DS 1.8.82

SKy 11/8/82

Issue 8/8/82

DS/J 13.8.82

C/F for personal (a/c)

MW 21/8

MINISTER FOR LAND & REVENUE
6-NOV 1952

ADDITIONAL ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
7-NOV 1952
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

M. (L.R.):

Sri Parthaje Venkatramana Bhat applied on 25-4-1950 through the Collector of South Kanara for a loan of Rs.25,000/- for the construction of a dam across the Sarve river in Punchapadi village, Puttur Taluk, South Kanara District, with a view to utilise the water of the river for cultivating his lands with food crops. The dam work has been completed last year and I have personally seen it. I am told that the Collector of South Kanara has recommended the application but no orders have so far been passed by the Govt. in the matter. The application has been pending for over 2½ years. I would like to know what action is proposed to be taken in the matter.

Minister (Health) 4/11/52

I agree
I so send them
M.A Manickavelu
6.11.52

To Secy, Revenue

Revenue Department.

To A.S.

Please see the minutes of M.(Health) and M.(Rev.) above.

2. In his R proceedings R.Dis.2540/48, dated 21-12-1948 the Collector of South Kanara granted permission to Sri P.Venkataramana Bhat of Vittal Padnur village to construct a permanent dam at his own cost across a poramboke x stream in S.No.39 of Punachapadi village, Puthur Taluk subject to certain conditions. In a memorandum dated 25-4-1950 submitted to/M.(Agri.) thethen at a Ryots' Conference at Puthur, Sri P.Venkataramana Bhat made the following main requests:-

(1). Grant of interest free loan of Rs.25,000/ repayable in annual instalments of Rs.1000/- each.

(2). Assignment of lands on either side of the channel leading from the anicut

(3). No rent to be charged for the Bull grader and Tractor made available t him.

(4). Laying of a road upto the anicut for 2 miles connecting the Darbe Kaniyur road near Pudubettu basthy.

(5) Permit free of seigniorage for felling timber for use of anicut.

3. Attention is invited to the minutes of the then M.(Agri.) and the then M.(P.W) on the memorandum at page 1 c.f. Requests (2), (3) and (4) of the petitioner (Sri P.V. Bhat) were examined and action has been taken where necessary - please see pages 5 and 7-9 c.f. Grant of request (5) is presumably not necessary now as the Anicut has been C. Request (1) above namely the grant of Rs.25,000/ free of interest, repayable in 25 annual instalments was in memo dated 26-5-50 referred to the Board of Revenue for remarks. The Board consulted the Chief Engineer (Iri.) and the Collector of S.K. in the matter. The remarks of the C.E. (I) and those of the Collector contained in his letter dated 5-1-1951 are at pages 14 and 20-21 c.f. respectively. The Board has in its reference dated 3-11-52 submitted its report in the matter.

4. The scheme undertaken by the petitioner was estimated to cost Rs. 47,799 and by the end of 1950 he is stated to have spent 28,125/-. On 21-6-1950 he borrow Rs.15000/- from a Land-mortgage Bank at 4½ % per annum repayable in 20 years. The work is said to have been almost completed except for sundry items such as earth connection, stone revetment etc. The question for consideration is whether the loan of Rs.25,000/- free of interest applied for by the petitioner should be granted. The C.E. (I) states that the loan can be granted on the security of the petitioner's lands and not on the anicut structure which may or may not be satisfactory in practice owing to inadequate design or poor materials and nature of work done. The Collector recommends that the

e loan may be sanctioned at 3% interest repayable in annual instalments. His reasons are that the security fered is adequate and that the scheme is likely to crease the production. Hehas stated that the loan, if anted, will enable the petitioner to repay the loan ken from the Land-mortgage Bank and utilise the balance r completing the work. The Board is of the view that no an can be granted for thedischarge of prior debts and at there are also no special groundsfor the grant of an at a concessional rate of interest viz. 3%. The ard has also stated that the immediate G.M.F. potentiali of the scheme is, in the opinion of the C.E., not very ight. Thepetitioner's property was stated to be worth ~~x28,500/-xxxxRsx1,67~~ Rs.1,67,500/- at pre-war prices d its present liabilities are only Rs.28,500/. The ard considers that as he is a rich person he can finance s scheme by raising money from other sources. The Board es not therefore recommend the grant of loan. The present policy of the Government is not to grant takkavi loans involving big sums to rich persons. - Please see extracts of minutes at (S). In the circumstances it is for orders whether the request for interest free loan of Rs.25,000/- made by ~~the~~ Sri P.V. Bhat of S.K. district will be rejected

5. The C.E. in his report on page 14 c.f. has raised the question of additional conditions to be imposed on the petitioner in regard to the scheme. The conditions are: -

(1). That the anicut after completion should become ~~a~~ the absolute property of the Government being on Government land and across a natural stream whose waters vests in Government.

(2). That the petitioner may beallowed to repair the structure as may be necessary and with the permission of the Govt. without affecting ownership of the work by Govt. and right ofGovt.~~x~~ to themselves repairing it.

(3). That the Govt. should be free to use the an[illegible] in any case and channels taking off from it for the irri gatio

of other lands with or without improvements as it suits them and collect water-rates; and

(4) that water rates chargeable on the party may, however, be adjusted against instalments of loans due from him.

The C.E. has stated that an agreement in a suitable form as in case of standard form for contribution works built on Govt. irrigation canals may be also taken by the Collector. The Board agrees to the additional conditions being imposed, and an agreement in a suitable form, as recommended by the C.E. being obtained by the Collector. For orders whether these ~~suggestion~~ additional conditions may be imposed. (It is submitted that if it is proposed to reject the request ~~of~~ for a loan condition No.4 above will not arise).

(6. With reference to the minutes of M.(Rev.) on page 4 ante, it is submitted that Board's remarks on the memorandum on p.1 c.f. were called for in Govt. Memo dated 26-5-1950. The Board ~~referred the matter to the C.E.(I)~~ had to consult the C.S.(I) of the Colln in the matter. The Colln sent his report to the Board on 5-1-51, but ~~for remarks~~. The C.E. (I) had to obtain a report from S.E. on certain technical points before sending his reply to the Board, ~~In his letter dated 12-7-52, the C.E.(I) submitted his report~~ and he was able to submit his report only on 12-7-52. With reference to the remarks of the C.E.(I), the Board called for a further report from the Collector and in its reference dated 3-11-52 submitted its final reply. In the circumstances, it is submitted the Board could not submit its final report to Govt. earlier.)

The file may be sent to P.W.D. and Finance for remarks before circulation.

/ was dealt with C.E. - vide Pp 11, 13, 15, 17 of routine file 6 / 20.11.52

Rc 7.11.52

So far as the request for the grant of takkavi loan is concerned, it is submitted that the sanction of a loan does not seem to be admissible in this case. In the first place the work in question has almost been completed in a

chosen by the applicant himself. According to loans rules the work should, after the loan is sanctioned, be executed under the supervision of the officers of the Govt – pl see instructions in ch ~~IV~~ 7 at pp 88 & 91 of the Loans Manual. The applicant has already raised a loan from the Land Mortgage Bank to the extent of Rs 15,000/- and the takkavi loan is applied for to clear this loan and to carry on sundry items of work. Loans cannot be granted for the discharge of prior debts. In addn to this there is the financial stringency at present and the Govt are not granting takkavi loans of big amounts for the reasons that applicants for such big loans will be rich persons who can afford to raise the loan elsewhere. The Board recommends the rejection of the loan on this grounds also. It is therefore suggested that the loan application in this case maybe rejected

Section to be rejected. A 20.11.52 20/11

The work in qn is construction of a dam across a natural stream was undertaken by the applicant on a permission granted to him by the Collr. The C.E. has suggested certain addl conditions and also the execution by the applicant of an agreement in a suitable form. This matter ~~which does not~~ maybe taken up for examn separately in H Section & for issue of necessary orders in consultation with PWD. Necessary extracts will be given to the Section for the purpose.

I want that matter must not be kept pending for long for want of reply from other depts 20/11 B

The file maybe sent to Dev, F&A(PD), PWD and Fin for remarks

*: Extracts given to H Section (C.No 93544H/52)

H

A

15.11.52

AS V/ADS/DS/S

17.11.52 18.11.52 20.11.52 20/11

17.11.52

Rd 20.11.52

Rd in 20.11.52

DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

DEV / F&A (F.C.) / PWD / FIN

Rd 20.11.52

Rd. C. 30865 NC/50-16

TO A.S.

This file has been sent to this dept. vide the request of one Sri Venkata Ramana Bhat S. Kanara for removal of timber required for construction of a dam at his cost across a mountain stream, free of cost. It is not the policy of the Govt. to grant timber free of seigniorage fees for such purposes. It also stated that the work has almost been completed except for some minor earth work and stone revetment and that the Remaining is a rich person worth nearly 1, 67, 500 at the present rates. It is therefore for orders whether the petitioner request may be negatived or not whether his request will be considered.

If alternative 2 is to be considered Rev may be requested to consult the C.C.F and let Fees Dept see the case again on receipt of the C.C's report. After orders are passed on A above the case may be passed on F & A (F.P).

24.11.52 for 24/11

Mr. Sum is to be informed that we can not grant free timber. The request must not be complied with

24/11/52

D.S. 24.11.52 F&A (F.P)

F&A(F.P) Dept. F & A (F.P)

Dept U.O 133334 dt 24-11-52

A.S.

Please see the notes of Revenue Dept. at A-8 ante. This Department may agree with the views expressed at A and B on P 8 ante by Revenue and pass on



The file to P.W.D.

5/12/52

3/12/52

PDL
3.12.52
DS (E.D.)

P.W.D.

WA(FP.II-A) is
.0381/52-1
.4-12-52

P.W.D(J.)

A.S.

pp. 16-19 cf and the notes from p. 4 on may be perused.

The file relates to the construction of a anicut across a poramboke stream in Punchapadi village, Puthur taluk, S. Kanara Dt. undertaken by one Sri P. Venkatramana Bhat at his own cost a permission granted to him by the Collr., and his request for the grant of a loan of Rs. 25,000/-. It is proposed to reject the request for the grant of a loa as recommended by the Board of Revenue.

As regards the anicut constructed by Sri Bhat, the C.E(I) has suggested several new condition as in para 5 on pp. 6-7 ante. to be imposed. Revs propose to examine these separately.

No remarks or secrn called for on th Dept at this stage.

The file may be passed on to Finan

5/12/52

5.12.52

Fin.

P.D. No. 103361 J/52-1
5.12.52

Fin (EA)

978.69C
8.12.52

The case is briefly set down in the P.W.D note above. Fin also may agr the proposals of Rev. A.F. & C.



6/12/52

6.12.52

6/12/52

6/12/52

6.12.52

6/12/52

1174 CA 52 The Accts

Revenue Dept.

To A.S.

Please see the minutes of M.(Health) and the notes on pp. 4-10 above.

2. Dev., F.&A.(F.P.), P.W.D. and Finance Depts agree with the views of this Deptt expressed at A and on p. 8 ante. Extracts of relevant portions have been given to H Section for taking further action w.r.t. B on p. 8 ante. ~~No further action seems called for.~~ ~~For orders.~~ The file may be circulated for orders.

C.N. 93546/H/52

Thro' H

A.Sh. 29.12.52

Whether the request of the petitioner Sri P. Venkataramana Bhat for a loan of Rs 25,000/- may be rejected as recommended by the Bd Dev. (With reference to the minutes of M(L.R.) on p. 4 ante perusal is solicited of the notes in para 6 on p. 7 ante.)

Sec. 30.12.52

The loan application of Sri Venkataramana Bhat may be rejected for the reasons given in para 4 at pp. 5-6 supra & in para 1 of my note at pp 7-8 supra

30/12/52

The loan application may be rejected

30.12.52

M(Health)

M.A. Manickam 2/1/53

M.(d.R.) / M.(Health) / M.(PWD.)

3-1-53

It is rather surprising to note that 2½ years after submitting the loan application by Sri P.V.Bhat, it is proposed to refuse the loan on the ground that the work of the dam is almost completed by taking a loan from a Land-mortgate Bank and that no loan can be granted for the discharge of prior debts. Having taken the work on

hand with the permission of the Collector, the applicant did well in completing it early by taking a loan elsewhere in the interest of growing more food crops in his dry lands, without waiting indefinitely for the Govt. loan. I do not see why a takkavi loan, as recommended by the Collector, should not be given even if a portion of it will be utilised for discharging the debt which has been incurred solely for the purpose of the dam work in question.

It is doubtful whether the Govt. can now impose further conditions on the applicant when he has been given permission by the Collector for the construction of the dam on certain conditions as early as 1948 and the work has been completed already.

6/1





Submitted for perusal
6.1.53

) (L.R) / II (Health
large loans are consistently
being reported. So

s significant to make

M.A.M. Revised
10.1.53

The reasons for refusing the loan may be stated in the order to be communicated to the applicant

14/1

Revenue Dept.

S.

Please see the orders in circumstances pp. 12-13 above. A draft order is submitted for approval.

Draft
14/1

DEPUTY SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
18 JAN 1953
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

8/1
5.1.53.

27.1.53

DS to see the draft

28/1.53

23/1/53

10.5 Draft H
to
22/1/53

J.N.

Why are the words
down in 4th line
from bottom of draft
on p.1 & "canals"
in the last line on
p.2 of draft deleted

28/1.53

from the notes file 54048C/51 of Rev E

Extract of File No. 24355/51 of Food and Agri.(F.P.).

xx xx xx xx

2. Kind attention is also invited to the letter dated 20-7-51 from Sri Parthaja Venkataramana Bhatt, in which he states that papers in this connection are pending with the C.E.(I) and the Govt. in the P.W.D.

xx xx xx

As regards irrigation facilities, P.W.D. will no doub let this department see the case before a decision is taken.

xxx/28.7.51.
D.S.

Rev.Dept.

xx xx

3. Regarding the irrigation facilities referred to in the note on pp.3-4 above, it is seen that the matter is pending with P.W.D. P.W.D. may therefore see with reference to 'X' on p. 4 ante.

xxx
9.8.51.

P.W.D.(J).

A.S.

This file has been sent to this Dept. with reference to 'A' on pp.4-5 above.

Govt. reference No.6034 J/50-1 P.W. dated 8-12-1950 wa with reference to a petition received from the Putturm ircle Congress Committee which among other things referred he provision of irrigation facilities. The petition was eceived from F & A Dept. and after issue of the endorse- ent (6034 J/50-1 dated 8-12-1950) the file was passed on o Rev. Dept.

In view of the reminders from Sri Parthaji Venkataraman hat, a report may be called for from the C.E.(I) in the atter. Draft memo. below is for approval.

fter issue the file may be returned to Rev.Dept.

D.A.
20.8-1951.

Rev.

Issued as Memo
No. 72743 J/51
dated 22-8-51.
(Retained in P

P.W.D.U.O.No.
76670 J/50-1
dated 24.8.5

Please see "B" on plause. FDA Dept. may
wanted to put up their file no. 76009 A/50 no | A
action thereon may be taken
the petition received from the Puttur congress
committee for reference and their file.

P.W.D.U.O.No
72743J/51-2
29-8-51

ASSISTANT SECRETARY
30 AUG 1951
FOOD AND AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

Food & Agriculture (A) 60752

the P.W. wpo= above.
The file referred to by that Dept at A
above has already been disposed of in this
dept by Docket No 76009-A/50, D/ 7.12.50 and
the papers sent to C.R.B. - P.W. Dept may
have the pp. from the C.R.B. on requisition.

"Acknowledge receipt of A & B on 1.9.51."

With these remarks, the file may be
returned to P.W. Dept.

Food & Agriculture
U.O. 60752-A/51-1
D/ 31-8-51.

by 30/8/51. A

30/8/51

31/8/51 OW

P.W.D(J)

AS.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO
76178
1 SEP 1951

No. Petition [illegible] Puttur congress committee

referred to the CE(I). vide Endt. no. 6034 J/50-1 dy 8.12.50 is on pp 1-2 of (R). The report of the CE(I). the matter may be awaited till 25.9.57.

6/9/57 6/9/51 6.9.57

From the C.E.(I) Kilpauk no. 1909/51-b-1 dy 9.12.1952 to the Board of Rev (with copy to govt, PWD).

Please see the report submitted by the C.E.(I) to the Board of Revenue (on P. 15 ct). The Board's remarks may be awaited till 30-8-52.

Rd 30/7/52 Rev may see. 1.8.52

Rev

3J/51-10 Rev Dept

To AS

The C.E.(I)'s report on p 15-c-f and the P.W dy notes above may be perused and the file may be returned to P.W.

P.W may be requested to let this Dept see the file again after the Bd's report is received and examined

Is the loan referred to under the L.I.L Act?

5/8/52 6-8-52

To A.S

There seems to be nothing in the file to indicate that the loan referred to is under the Land Improvement Loans Act. Under the L.I.L Act loans can be given for any work by which the letting value of land for the purposes of Agriculture will be permanently increased. Therefore, it appears that in the present case also there seems to have no objection to granting a loan under the L.I.L Act if such work

Mukteswaran arrears applied for

8.52

AS II / PW

k
8/52
1/6
8.52

To AS:

The connected file on the subject that has been pending in this Deptt. is linked. A copy of the CE's letter on f-15 cf has been received in this Deptt. and the Bd's remarks are awaited in that file. P.W.D may be requested to let this Deptt see the case after the Bd's report is received in their Deptt

The Hf. might sent. down for perusal & return

PW

A
19/52

11 19/9/52

PW

ADDITIONAL ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
14 AUG 1952
58307
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

I think AS's remarks will be need in Rev

11/9

Rev. No. 30865/52
11-9-52

P.W.D (II)

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
12 SEP 1952
76528
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

To AS.

Please see ... on pp 3-4 below.

This file relates to the request of a ryot praying for sanctioning a grant for a water course which Sri. Venkataramanappa is constructing in Kasargod taluk of Kanara Dt. This deptt. is not concerned in the matter. (The collr. has permitted the construction and the work is almost complete.) Sri Venkataramanappa that has himself applied for a loan for completing the work and the matter is under consideration in the deptt in the linked file. This file may therefore be transferred to Rev. Deptt. for disposal.

ADDITIONAL ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
15 OCT 1952
81588
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

15/X

P.W.D. No. 72743 S/52-13
dt 13.10.52

Rev. Draft.

To As.

Please .. the P.W.D note on p. 4 ante. ~~No separate action is called for on this~~ ~~there pp may be added to the~~ now Rt. pp in the L.F. A copy of

22.3.82 reference on page 2 of the has been received in the L.F and the Bd's remarks thereon are awaited. The papers in this file may be attd to the linked file

Sd/-
22/4/82

Sd/-
25/10/82

Extract of notes from the file bearing C.No.39051 P&DJ/A

MALNAD DEVELOPMENT - South Kanara Malnad - Grievances Representations and Memorials presented to Minister (Agriculture) during his tour of Malnad.

xx

(3) The Government may expedite the sanction of an interest free loan of Rs 25,000/- to Sri P.Venkataramana Bhatta who has undertaken to construct at his own cost an irrigation dam across the Shiriyar river which would irrigate an area of about 200 acres in Puttur taluk.



After issue the file may be sent to Rev. Dept. with reference to third request under 'Irrigation' on p.1 ante prior to circulation.

xx xx

Revenue Department.

To A.S.

The file has been sent to this Dept. with reference to the representation III(3) at p.1 ante.) The request of one ~~Sri~~ Dr. P.N. Bhat is under the consideration of this Dept. and the report of the Board of Revenue is awaited. The applicant referred to 'M' on p.1 ante is however Sri P. Venkataramana Bhatta. Extracts may be taken for examining the request separately. This may be returned to P.W.D.

T.N.N.
16-9-53

/true extract/

To AS

Please see the extract above & note of. The Collector of South Kanara may be requested to state whether any application for a loan from Sri P. Venkataramana Bhatta ~~has been received pending~~ & if so ~~what~~ what action was taken on it ~~what stage the matter stands~~.

The draft memo below is for approval.

22.9.53

GP Issued Memo No 78246/L-53-1 dt 22.9.53
Rev. Dept. No. J.102 dt 26.11.53

Revenue Dept

Please see the note on p. 1 ante and the Board's report on p. 3 cf. The Board has referred to the orders in G.O. Ms. 313, Rev., dates 2.2.53 in which pp 23-24 cf. the petition of Sri Venkataramana Bhatt of Yenmakaje Yenkanaje village for a takkavi loan of Rs. 25,000 was rejected. In view of those orders no further action seems called for in this file. The papers may be lodged and stitched with the papers at (A).

A-Sh.
2.12.53.

2.12.53

4/12